


# QUESTIONNAIRES – Latvia, by Latvian Ministry of Economics

## 1.1. Respondents


Type of stakeholder	Number
Public administration (national, regional)	1
Public administration (local)	8
Public agency linked to a public administration	2
Research or technology centre	1
Other R&D providers or associations	1
Users/consumer association	1

READING THE GRAPHS: 0 = totally disagree, 5 = totally agree


## 1.2. Current state of the instrument




### 1.3. Governance



### 1.4. Entrepreneurial discovery process and RIS3



## 1.6. How can responding stakeholders contribute to improve the instrument?



## 1.5. Conclusions

There have been 12 questionnaires filled, where all the participants are representing different institutions, where 10 of them are the representatives from the national, local, regional public administration as well as the public agency linked to a public administration, 1 representative from the higher education institution and 1 representative from the users/consumers association.

Regarding the current state of the instrument, stakeholders split in their opinions and there is no certain agreement on neither of the questions. 9 of the respondents agree on the fact of the low development of the PPI in Latvia, at the same time 11 stakeholders agree that the government is working on the question. The results of the questionnaire state the fact that all the participants agree on not having enough information about the PPI programs, as well as everyone agree on the low level of the PPI in Latvia.

The section of the governance shows that the stakeholders are ready for the cooperation as well as are sure their institution could add value for the realization of the PPI. However not all the stakeholders can surely state that there is enough understanding of the PPI to properly design and operate with such program.

Entrepreneurial discovery process and RIS3 shows that most of the stakeholders are not familiar with the RIS3 aspects and priorities, as most of the results are evaluated with the score "3", which usually is showing the uncertainty about the answer.

Contribution to the PPI is evaluated as necessary and important, therefore stakeholders highly rate the participation in the process development by consulting and collaborating with the managing authority. However, there is no certain answer when asked about the certain activities of participation, such as monitoring and evaluating the project, ensuring the awareness of other organizations.