

LSG Conclusions Report

Partner concerned:	County Administrative Board Östergötland
Policy Instrument concerned:	Regional Environmental Objectives Östergötland
Date of the meeting:	2.12.2020

This report should be sent to all partners

LSG Meeting General Information

A. Participants

Name	Institution/profile
Sofia Bastviken	County Administrative Board Östergötland
Sara Lönnerud	County Administrative Board Östergötland
Carl Hamilton	Region Östergötland
Stefan Wernersson	Motala Municipality and Övre Motala Ströms Water Council
Torbjörn Blixt	Motala Municipality and Övre Motala Ströms Water Council

B. Agenda

<p>The purpose of this LSG meeting</p> <p><i>Introduction of purpose of the project and expected results (introduction for new stakeholder representative of Motala Municipality)</i></p> <p><i>Online study visits in Sweden – information and planning with stakeholders</i></p> <p><i>Online study visits in Portugal – information concerning participation from stakeholders</i></p> <p><i>Joint analytical Report</i></p> <p><i>Local plans</i></p> <p><i>Activities planned for next meeting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Provide ideas for next meeting, questions, comments</i> - <i>Find stakeholder in Norrköping Municipality</i> - <i>Newsletter, reminding stakeholders to subscribe for latest news</i> <p><i>Timetable</i></p>
--

Next meeting

C. Duration

13:00-15:00

LSG Meeting Conclusions

A. Summary of the meeting

The meeting gathered local stakeholder group from Östergötland County involved in the BIGDATA 4RIVERS project. It included representatives from the County Administrative Board, the municipalities and the water councils in the region.

- The objectives of this meeting were to inform the stakeholders about the goals, ideas and plans of the BD4R project as one representative will be replaced (Motala Municipality).
- Future online study visits were discussed:
 - Online study visits and knowledge transfer seminars to be hosted by Portugal were presented and CAB requested the participation of stakeholders if possible. Further information regarding subjects, possible presentations during the planned panels (subjects planned: WFD, ROP and GPs/ICT) and participations in following discussions by Swedish stakeholders. Also, timetable were communicated.
 - Online study visits and knowledge transfer seminars to be hosted by CAB were presented and CAB requested the participation of stakeholders. Further information regarding subjects, possible presentation and discussions by stakeholders and timetable were communicated where previously suggested topics were confirmed by the stakeholder group. These suggestions were:
 1. Tinnerbäcken – an example of how to work operative in the spatial planning, close cooperation between CAB (regional authority) and the municipalities.
 2. Wastewater treatment of pharmaceuticals
 3. Plan and strategies for storm water
 4. The usage of stricter demands than the IED-directive's Best available techniques in activities applying for new permits or renewed permits regarding emissions to water. Sweden has adopted "Best possible techniques" which are stricter than the BAT-demands

5. VISS – the water information system in Sweden

6. A passage for fish by the waterpower plant in the river in Linköping

- We discussed our expected result. We discussed that we could carry out two local action plans with detailed planning of actions to be taken.
- Furthermore, we presented to the stakeholders the Joint analytical report and the revised timetable for the compilation. Discussion also the difficulties and observed differences in Chapters managed by CAB.
- The progress of Local action plans was presented for the LSG. CAB presented how the suggested water bodies from 2nd LSG-meeting were taken into consideration. Lake Boren as suggested by Anna-Stina has been prioritized as number one for possible water bodies where local action plans could be developed. The water bodies presented were:
 1. Lake Boren: effected by physical impact (hydro morphology), hazardous substances, water resources and invasive species.
 2. River Vammarsmålaån: effected by physical impact (hydro morphology), hazardous substances, water resources and possible eutrophication.
 3. Groundwater Söderköping: Water resources.
 4. Lake Ensjön and River Ljura bäck: effected by eutrophication, hazardous substances
 5. River Slakaån: effected by physical impact (hydro morphology), hazardous substances, water resources and eutrophication

Also, that we need further input to identify the local water management problems, room for improvement and potential good practices in Östergötland County, from the perspective of the various stakeholders involved.

- Coming activities of LSG were stated as:
 - Participation in online study visits
 - Important areas
 - We discussed that the need of at least one more local stakeholder to cover the municipality of Norrköping still remains to be solved. This to include all municipalities along the river of Motala ström.
 - Information regarding the BD4R Newsletter and subscription for information.
- The LSG will have meetings every six months, if possible after the study visits
- The LSG will be informed about the progress made on the project and future timetable also delays related to Covid-19
- Next meeting will be scheduled by Sara in May 2021.

B. Recommendations of the LSG

- Stefan Wernersson recommended including effects invasive species American waterweed (or Canadian waterweed or pondweed) regarding the Local actionplans in Lake Boren
- Carl Hamilton discussed physical impacts in river Vammarsmålaån.

C. Information Request

-

